

**Assessment Report of PTC course  
By Dr Sunil K Sharma and Dr Saeed Minhas**

Location/ centre: Tie Ling Liao Ning Province

Date: 30th March to 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012

Name of Supervisor/ Facilitator: Dr Wang Chief Anaesthetist Tie ling Central Hospital  
Dr Saeed Minhas Orthopaedic Surgeon Pakistan  
Dr Sunil K Sharma General Surgeon Nepal

**1. Detailed Assessment:**

<b>Venue - Lecture Hall</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Seating arrangements				X	
Audio visual				X	
White/ Black board				X	
Flip Chart		X			
<b>Comments: There was extensive briefing a day before the course. We thus helped the local organisers in topic selection, presentations styles, in the layout seating arrangements and other peripherals. During the briefing we had informed them the possibility of adding pictures and X rays. We also informed them that the text was not to be tampered. However to our surprise the senior instructors had extra information regarding the subject in the form of Text Slides ( 4-6). We did inform them that this could confuse the candidates and that they should restrict to the original slides .However they should feel free to add visual effects.</b>					

<b>Venue - Skill stations</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Basic airway				X	
Advanced Airway			X		
Chest Drain & Introsseous needle					X
Log roll		X			
Practice time			X		
<b>Comments: Having been briefed along with a practice session a day</b>					

**earlier the instructors were good with the skill station. They had a sheep “carcass” to practise on. Overall there were 4 manikins but not a single one for advance airway practice and Intubation However one of the instructors was very confused so we had to replace him with the local Chinese facilitator.**

**1. Detailed Assessment (Continued):**

<b>Scenario</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Manikins			X		
Human Volunteer		X			
Scenario Facilities			X		
Instructor role play			X		
<p><b>Comments:</b> There were no volunteers. The director Dr Wang however a very dynamic man; was ensuring the smooth running of the course. He in fact was doing most of the physical and manual work: being assisted by the two of us.</p>					

<b>Group discussions</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Arrangements and Rooms			X		
Topic coverage		X			
Participants' involvement in discussion		X			
Instructor role			X		
<p><b>Comments:</b> The overall participation increased on day three. They were questioning the local instructors. Some came up to talk to us. We really did appreciate this. However one of the instructors was absent from the second day so we were down to three. They were taxed at times. so Dr Saeed and I did help in between.</p>					

<b>Participants</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Number	<b>20</b>				
Attendance					<b>X</b>
Interest				<b>X</b>	
Selection/ specialty of participants				<b>X</b>	
<b>Comments: A mixed group. A slightly senior group. Thus initially apprehensive. However the interest level remained high throughout.</b>					

**1. Detailed Assessment (Continued):**

<b>Instructors</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Number	<b>3</b>				
Selection		<b>X</b>			
Specialty			<b>X</b>		
Preparedness			<b>X</b>		
Used different presentation styles			<b>X</b>		
Handled question answer			<b>X</b>		
Summary			<b>X</b>		
Feedback			<b>X</b>		
<b>Comments: two of the instructors were senior. Teaching adults was a new concept for them. However a young lady instructor was very impressive. She was quick to learn and adapt. One instructor was not confident thus was absent from the second day. Even with constant help and debriefing he found it difficult to flourish.</b>					

<b>Arrangements:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Stationary, manuals, batches				<b>X</b>	
Manikins				<b>X</b>	
Equipment for skill satiations				<b>X</b>	

Refreshments				X	
<b>Comments :Overall very satisfactory</b>					

### Detailed Assessment (Continued):

	1	2	3	4	5
Time keeping		X			
<b>Comments: We were delayed and behind time on both days. Thus could have been due to the need of extra involvement from our part, this obviously needed extra time for translation.</b>					

	1	2	3	4	5
Secretarial support		X			
<b>Comments: The other junior department faculty performed the secretarial job.</b>					

### 2. Overall comments.

The second course at Tie Ling was satisfactory. The leadership and dynamism of Dr Wang needs to be stressed.. His quiet demeanour added the needed calmness and correctness to the course. He insured for our comfort and arrangements throughout the course. This included meals, travel and accommodation.

The level of interest amongst the candidates was higher than expected on the first day.

A strong mention has to be made of Dr Chen Jei from China University 1<sup>st</sup> Hospital in Shenyang. He is an excellent asset for PTC china. He coordinated the course also being the translator as well as the local facilitator. His knowledge and practise of PTC was very impressive. The overall credit must go to him.

The other person that needs to be commended on is Dr Liu Hao secretary, NIHA.

His constant supervision advice was very helpful. He was present throughout not missing a single session. He was present during the pre per and post course meetings. He supported and helped throughout.

Overall a successful course. Thank You

Dr Sunil K Sharma  
Dr Saeed Minhas